

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/089,695		HIEI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	CATHY K. WORLEY		1638	

All Participants:

(1) CATHY K. WORLEY.

(2) LINDA PARKER.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

rejection of claims 1, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, and 24 under 35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Hansen and Lyznik

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cathy K. Worley/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to propose Examiner's amendments to bring the claims into condition for allowance. Most important was including a limitation for the amount of increase in transformation efficiency that rose to the level of "unexpected results" to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 103. The Applicant agreed to the proposed amendments.